

## Koch, Kristine

---

**From:** Bill Ross <bross@rossstrategic.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2015 4:50 PM  
**To:** Grandinetti, Cami; Robinson, Deborah; Zhen, Davis; Kevin Parrett (parrett.kevin@deq.state.or.us); 'Tom Roick'; Koch, Kristine; Allen, Elizabeth  
**Subject:** Portland Harbor: 8/7 Draft Agenda

All, below are the draft ground rules/principles that would guide the retreat. As well, the purpose of the retreat is spelled out in some detail and then follows the draft agenda. At our call tomorrow, we will affirm/amend the agenda, establish roles and assignments, identify to the extent possible desired outcomes and determine which information beyond chapters 3 and 4 (if any and if so, is it to be information extracted from Chapter 4 in particular) will be used to support each section of the agenda.

I look forward to talking with you all on Monday. Stay cool!

**Bill Ross** | Principal  
Ross Strategic | 1218 3rd Ave, Suite 1207, Seattle WA 98101  
Desk: 206.792.4040 | Cell: 206.669.8376  
[bross@rossstrategic.com](mailto:bross@rossstrategic.com) | <http://rossstrategic.com>

### Ground rules and Principles for the August 7 Workshop on the Portland Harbor Cleanup

- All participants will engage in the free flow of information and discussion and not identify 'fixed positions' on what the draft conceptual plan should or should not look like.
- The information and analysis conducted to date is acknowledged to be in some sense incomplete, which is normal at this stage of developing a ROD, and is sufficient for supporting a productive dialogue. If further analysis is deemed worthwhile, it would be conducted in a manner that does not delay the release of the draft conceptual plan.
- Since this is largely a technical discussion, some of the conversations may not be completed during the workshop. If necessary, additional interaction will be deferred to a later time after the workshop in order to ensure that all topics on the agenda will be covered at the workshop
- The focus will be on understanding the factors shaping the alternatives in Chapter 4 of the FS and how the Superfund decision-criteria will be applied and evaluated as the draft conceptual plan is constructed, not on critiquing the FS or actually designing the draft conceptual plan.

### Agenda for the August 7 Workshop on the Portland Harbor Cleanup

8:30 a.m.–2:00 p.m. PDT

EPA Oregon Operations Office

805 SW Broadway, Suite 500 | Portland, OR 97205

### Purpose of the Workshop

- To assist ODEQ to understand Chapters 3 and 4 of the Feasibility Study
- To explore key data and analysis regarding contaminants, footprint of cleanup, potential cleanup technologies to be deployed, future land and water uses, reliability and implementability of remedies, and time to complete cleanup that are described and evaluated in the alternatives D and E (and F?) in Chapter 4

- To discuss potential trade-offs among the Superfund decision criteria and the alternatives as input to EPA as it develops the draft conceptual plan
- To understand the key drivers of the cost of each alternative and compare and contrast with the costs associated with the LWG's alternatives
- To enable ODEQ to identify key questions and any initial observations for EPA to consider as it develops the draft conceptual plan
- To describe the process EPA will use to score the criteria and identify benefits that result in the draft conceptual plan
- To identify further points of contact between ODEQ and EPA as the draft conceptual plan is developed

## Agenda

8:30 Convene and Introductions

8:35 Purpose of Workshop and Agenda Review

8:45 Brief Overview of Key Issues Associated with Chapters 3 and 4 of the Feasibility Study Based on ODEQ Questions

9:00 Presentation and Discussion of Critical Factors that Drive Alternatives D and E (and F?): Contaminants, Footprint, Technologies, Future Land and Water Uses, Reliability and Implementability and Time to Complete

10:30 Break

10:45 Discussion of Potential 'Trade-Offs' Among the Superfund Criteria in Relationship to Alternatives D and E (and F?)

11:45 Presentation and Discussion Regarding the Cost of Each Alternative and Comparison to LWG's Costs

12:30 Lunch Break. Note that ODEQ and EPA would break into separate groups over lunch. ODEQ would develop any critical questions/initial observations it has for EPA regarding the morning's discussion; EPA would develop any critical questions/key 'take aways' it has for ODEQ regarding the morning's session

1:15 ODEQ and EPA share the product of the lunch sessions

1:45 EPA describes how the Superfund criteria will be scored and benefits be determined that will result in the draft conceptual plan

2:00 Next Steps and Adjourn